SINGLE BENCH

(2)

CF 1001

(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) NO. 4442 / 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Leelavati,
W/o Sri Indra Prasad, 19 years,
R/o Vill. Chindiya, Teh. Surajpur,
Distt. Sarguja

P.R. No. 4487/06
Presented by Shri Santosh Bhanu
dated 17/8/06

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through: The Secretary,
Women & Child Development Deptt.
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Collector,
Ambikapur, Distt. Sarguja.

RECEIVED COPY
17/8/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

3. The Programme/Project Officer,
Women & Child Development
Project, Ramanuj Nagar,
Distt. Sarguja.

4. The Chief Executive Officer,
Janpat Panchayat, Ramanuj Nagar,
Distt. Sarguja.

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(34)

मामला क्रमांक WPNO.4454/1 सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	25-8-2006 Shri Ptafulla Bharat, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy.G.A. for the State/respondents No.1 to 3, on advance copy. Heard. The main grievance of the petitioner is that even though she is working as Sah Sahayika, she is entitled for the preferential treatment for the appointment of Aangan Badi Karyakarta, the post which was advertised for the Village Chindiya, Tehsil Surajpur, District Surguja. Further case of the petitioner is that even though on the said post no Aangan Badi Karyakarta or any body has been selected, the petitioner's case has not been considered on the ground that the wife of elder brother of her father-in-law is a Panch, therefore, she cannot be appointed as Aangan Badi Karyakarta. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner does not come within the definition of family member of Panch, as such, the action of respondents is per se illegal. In the circumstances, counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent no.4 raising the above grievances and in turn, he be directed to consider the above fact and decide the same, to which counsel for respondents has no objection.; Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of thecase, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a	

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

35

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	copy of this writ petition to respondent No.4 raisigg all her grievances within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.4 is directed to decide the same within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering allthe points raised by the petitione in an objective manner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.(W.)P. No.3447/2006 also stands disposed of. C.C. as per Rules. Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge	

Served

29.8.06 13.9.06

P.T.O.